

**CHILDREN AND YOUTH PERFORMANCE OUTCOME DATA SYSTEM (CPODS)
STATEWIDE SUMMARY DATA FOR 1999 AND 2000**

The attached tables provide a statewide summary of the 1999 and 2000 Children’s Performance Outcome Data (as of 5/7/01) on the Child and Adolescent Functional Assessment Scale (CAFAS), the Client Living Environments Profile (CLEP), the Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL), the Youth Self-Report (YSR) and the Client Satisfaction Questionnaire (CSQ-8). Improvements can be seen in the demographic information with significant decreases in the “Unknown” categories in 2000, as compared to 1999. There were also substantial reductions in the “Unknown” category for the Predominant Placement Setting in 2000, as compared to 1999. Another significant improvement, was a three-fold increase in the volume of the satisfaction questionnaire responses between 1999 and 2000.

STATEWIDE CAFAS DATA

GENDER	1999 (N=46,218)	2000 (N=35,989)
Males	46.5%	59.2%
Females	26.4%	34.8%
Unknown	27.1%	5.9%

ETHNICITY	1999 (N=46,218)	2000 (N=35,989)
White	33.3%	44.3%
Spanish/Hispanic	20.0%	26.4%
African American	12.7%	14.0%
Asian Pacific	1.0%	1.6%
Native American	1.2%	1.5%
Southeast Asian	0.6%	0.9%
Filipino	0.4%	0.5%
Unknown	30.9%	10.8%

AGE CATEGORIES	1999 (N=56,004)	2000 (N=42,315)
0 to 5	2.7%	3.4%
6 to 10	24.5%	30.0%
11 to 15	33.9%	43.1%
16 to 18	13.3%	17.5%
19 to 21	0.3%	0.7%
Unknown	25.4%	5.2%

1999 STATEWIDE CAFAS MEAN SCALE SCORES (Higher Score = Higher Impairment Level)	Intake (N=30,210)	Mid-Treatment (N=14,283)	Discharge (N=11,223)
Role Performance: School	17.5	16.7	13.8
Role Performance: Home	15.5	14.8	12.8
Role Performance: Community	7.6	6.8	6.4
Behavior Toward Others	14.7	14.1	11.8
Moods/Self-Harm: Moods/Emotions	15.1	14.5	12.3
Moods/Self-Harm: Self-Harmful Behavior	7.9	6.7	6.6
Substance Use	3.9	3.0	3.9
Thinking	4.5	5.7	3.8
Total 5-Scale Score	55.5	52.8	41.7

2000 STATEWIDE CAFAS MEAN SCALE SCORES (Higher Score = Higher Impairment Level)	Intake (N=21,746)	Mid-Treatment (N=11,565)	Discharge (N=8,633)
Role Performance: School	16.9	16.3	11.9
Role Performance: Home	15.0	14.4	11.2
Role Performance: Community	7.9	6.7	5.7
Behavior Toward Others	14.2	13.8	10.1
Moods/Self-Harm: Moods/Emotions	14.8	14.1	10.2
Moods/Self-Harm: Self-Harmful Behavior	5.3	4.6	2.5
Substance Use	4.5	3.0	3.5
Thinking	3.9	5.5	2.7
Total 5-Scale Score	54.0	51.3	38.3

STATEWIDE CLEP DATA

1999 STATEWIDE CURRENT PLACEMENT SETTING	Intake (N=35,030)	Mid-Treatment (N=15,448)	Discharge (N=8,017)
Incarcerated (Prison, County Jail, CYA, Juvenile Hall, etc.)	4.9%	1.9%	2.8%
Psychiatric Hospital or Residential Treatment Center (Levels 13-14)	2.5%	2.5%	1.6%
Group Homes (Levels 1-12)	5.1%	4.4%	2.8%
Foster Care or Therapeutic Foster Care	11.2%	10.8%	8.0%
Living with Biological or Adoptive Family, Relatives, Friends, or Others in a Home Setting	73.9%	79.1%	80.6%
Living Independently by Self, with Spouse, Roommate, and /or Dependent Children	0.8%	0.5%	1.5%
Homeless	0.9%	0.3%	1.0%
Unknown	0.6%	0.6%	1.6%

2000 STATEWIDE CURRENT PLACEMENT SETTING	Intake (N=26,429)	Mid-Treatment (N=14,226)	Discharge (N=9,937)
Incarcerated (Prison, County Jail, CYA, Juvenile Hall, etc.)	3.5%	1.0%	3.5%
Psychiatric Hospital or Residential Treatment Center (Levels 13-14)	1.6%	2.6%	1.2%
Group Homes (Levels 1-12)	4.5%	5.5%	3.3%
Foster Care or Therapeutic Foster Care	9.8%	9.7%	8.3%
Living with Biological or Adoptive Family, Relatives, Friends, or Others in a Home Setting	79.1%	80.0%	80.0%
Living Independently by Self, with Spouse, Roommate, and /or Dependent Children	0.4%	0.4%	1.3%
Homeless	0.6%	0.3%	1.6%
Unknown	0.4%	0.5%	0.9%

1999 STATEWIDE PREDOMINANT PLACEMENT SETTING	Intake (N=35,030)	Mid-Treatment (N=15,448)	Discharge (N=8,017)
Incarcerated (Prison, County Jail, CYA, Juvenile Hall, etc.)	1.0%	0.6%	2.3%
Psychiatric Hospital or Residential Treatment Center (Levels 13-14)	2.0%	2.2%	0.9%
Group Homes (Levels 1-12)	3.0%	3.8%	2.1%
Foster Care or Therapeutic Foster Care	8.9%	10.0%	7.9%
Living with Biological or Adoptive Family, Relatives, Friends, or Others in a Home Setting	66.3%	76.7%	77.1%
Living Independently by Self, with Spouse, Roommate, and /or Dependent Children	0.3%	0.4%	0.9%
Homeless	0.9%	0.3%	0.7%
Unknown	17.5%	5.9%	8.2%

2000 STATEWIDE PREDOMINANT PLACEMENT SETTING	Intake (N=26,429)	Mid-Treatment (N=14,226)	Discharge (N=9,937)
Incarcerated (Prison, County Jail, CYA, Juvenile Hall, etc.)	1.3%	0.6%	2.4%
Psychiatric Hospital or Residential Treatment Center (Levels 13-14)	1.3%	2.4%	0.8%
Group Homes (Levels 1-12)	3.7%	5.2%	2.7%
Foster Care or Therapeutic Foster Care	8.9%	9.6%	8.8%
Living with Biological or Adoptive Family, Relatives, Friends, or Others in a Home Setting	81.6%	79.4%	79.8%
Living Independently by Self, with Spouse, Roommate, and /or Dependent Children	0.3%	0.3%	0.8%
Homeless	0.6%	0.3%	1.3%
Unknown	2.1%	2.2%	3.4%

STATEWIDE CBCL DATA

1999 STATEWIDE MEAN CBCL T-SCORES	Intake (N=14,805)	Mid-Treatment (N=6,080)	Discharge (N=1,834)
Total Competence Scale (Larger Score = Greater Competence)	35.6	35.2	38.4
Total Problem Scale (Larger Score = Greater Problem)	65.6	65.9	58.7
Total Internalizing Scale (Larger Score = Greater Problem)	62.0	61.9	55.8
Total Externalizing Scale (Larger Score = Greater Problem)	64.7	64.7	58.9

2000 STATEWIDE MEAN CBCL T-SCORES	Intake (N=15,398)	Mid-Treatment (N=7,023)	Discharge (N=1,778)
Total Competence Scale (Larger Score = Greater Competence)	35.4	35.5	38.0
Total Problem Scale (Larger Score = Greater Problem)	65.3	65.6	58.6
Total Internalizing Scale (Larger Score = Greater Problem)	61.6	61.4	55.6
Total Externalizing Scale (Larger Score = Greater Problem)	64.3	64.3	58.6

STATEWIDE YSR DATA

1999 STATEWIDE MEAN YSR T-SCORES	Intake (N=11,169)	Mid-Treatment (N=4,085)	Discharge (N=1,208)
Total Competence Scale (Larger Score = Greater Competence)	40.2	41.0	42.2
Total Problem Scale (Larger Score = Greater Problem)	57.7	57.5	53.3
Total Internalizing Scale (Larger Score = Greater Problem)	56.1	55.6	51.5
Total Externalizing Scale (Larger Score = Greater Problem)	58.2	58.0	55.4

2000 STATEWIDE MEAN YSR T-SCORES	Intake (N=9,021)	Mid-Treatment (N=4,341)	Discharge (N=994)
Total Competence Scale (Larger Score = Greater Competence)	40.4	41.0	42.1
Total Problem Scale (Larger Score = Greater Problem)	57.4	57.1	52.5
Total Internalizing Scale (Larger Score = Greater Problem)	55.7	54.9	51.2
Total Externalizing Scale (Larger Score = Greater Problem)	57.9	57.7	54.2

STATEWIDE CSQ-8 DATA

1999 CSQ-8 MEAN SCALE SCORES (Ratings: 1 = Low Satisfaction to 4=High Satisfaction)	1999 (N=5,430)	2000 (N=16,414)
#1: How would you rate the quality of service you have received?	3.4	3.4
#2: Did you get the kind of service you wanted?	3.3	3.4
#3: To what extent has our program met your needs?	3.2	3.2
#4: If a friend were in need of similar help, would you recommend our program to him or her?	3.5	3.5
#5: How satisfied are you with the amount of help you have received?	3.3	3.3
#6: Have the services you received helped you to deal more effectively with your problems?	3.5	3.4
#7: In an overall, general sense, how satisfied are you with the service you have received?	3.4	3.3
#8: If you were to seek help again, would you come back to our program?	3.1	3.5