

*Older Adult Survey*

*Superior Region Summary Report  
for the  
May 2005 Data Collection Period*

**OLDER ADULT PERFORMANCE OUTCOMES**



**Broad-Based Evaluation  
Consumer Perception Survey**

**DECEMBER 2005**

Prepared by:  
Performance Outcomes and Quality  
Improvement Unit  
California Department of Mental Health (DMH)  
Systems of Care  
1600 9<sup>th</sup> Street  
Sacramento CA 95814

**Purpose of this report**

*The purpose of this report is to provide performance outcomes data on consumer satisfaction (using items from the 28-item Mental Health Statistics Improvement Program Consumer Perception Survey (MHSIP)) and quality of life (QOL), as measured by the California State Department of Mental Health's Older Adult Survey. This report is a REGIONAL summary of the Older Adult Survey (see Attachment A) data that were collected during the May 2-13, 2005 survey period. To assist with the interpretation of this summary report, brief narratives are provided before each table is presented. County tables can be downloaded from each county's Information Technology Web Services (ITWS) folder, accessible to authorized ITWS users at <https://mhhitws.cahwnet.gov/>.*

## Consumer Demographic & Descriptive Items

### Summary Report

The following tables reflect aggregated REGIONAL data and highlight the Older Adult Survey demographic items, as well as several additional descriptive items, that were reported by consumers who received services during the May 2-13, 2005, survey period. Results of these Older Adult Survey consumer-completed items are highlighted in yellow, and exclude surveys that had no responses for either the MHSIP portion of the Older Adult Survey, the QOL portion, or both. **Out of 132 Superior Region Older Adult Surveys submitted, a total of 94 were considered “completed,” as they had at least one response to MHSIP or QOL sections.**

**TOTAL NUMBER OF SURVEYS SUBMITTED (SUPERIOR REGION)**

A total of 132 Older Adult Surveys were submitted to the state.

**Region**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Superior Region	132	100.0	100.0	100.0

**GENDER**

For those who responded to the question – “What is your gender?” – 69.5% identified themselves as Female, 30.5% as Male and 0.0% as Other. Additionally, 12.8% of the consumers did not respond to this item.

**What is your gender?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Female	57	60.6	69.5	69.5
	Male	25	26.6	30.5	100.0
	Total	82	87.2	100.0	
No Response		12	12.8		
Total		94	100.0		

**AGE CATEGORY \***

For those who responded to the question – “What is your date of birth?” – 14.1% were under age 60, 65.4% were 60-69, 14.1% were 70-79, 6.4% were 80-89, 0.0% were 90-99 and 0.0% were age 100 or older. Additionally, 17.0% of the consumers did not respond to this item.

**Age Category**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Under 60	11	11.7	14.1	14.1
	60-69	51	54.3	65.4	79.5
	70-79	11	11.7	14.1	93.6
	80-89	5	5.3	6.4	100.0
	Total	78	83.0	100.0	
No Response		16	17.0		
Total		94	100.0		

**SERVICE LENGTH**

For those who responded to the question – “How long have you received services here?” – 0.0% reported that it was their first visit; 1.4% reported that they had had more than one visit, but that they had received services for less than one month; 6.8% reported having received services for 1-2 months; 6.8% reported having received services for 3-5 months; 15.1% reported receiving services for 6 months to 1 year and 69.9% reported receiving services for more than one year. Additionally, 22.3% of the consumers did not respond to this item.

**How long have you received services here?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	> 1 visit, but < one month	1	1.1	1.4	1.4
	1 to 2 months	5	5.3	6.8	8.2
	3 to 5 months	5	5.3	6.8	15.1
	6 months to 1 year	11	11.7	15.1	30.1
	More than 1 year	51	54.3	69.9	100.0
	Total	73	77.7	100.0	
No Response		21	22.3		
Total		94	100.0		

\* Although Older Adults are defined as being 60 years of age and older, this table reflects that DMH received “older adult” surveys from consumers younger than 60 years of age. Consumers may have, inadvertently, been given the wrong survey form to complete, or may have unintentionally filled out the item with an invalid date of birth. Also for a number of surveys received “date of birth” was not completed.

**MEXICAN / HISPANIC / LATINO ORIGIN**

On the Older Adult Survey, 5.3% of the consumers identified themselves as being “of Mexican / Hispanic / Latino Origin.”

**Are you of Mexican / Hispanic / Latino origin?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	74	78.7	78.7	78.7
	Yes	5	5.3	5.3	84.0
	Unknown	15	16.0	16.0	100.0
Total		94	100.0	100.0	

**RACE**

Consumers were permitted to identify as many race categories as they felt were applicable; therefore, each race category is reported individually and, due to potential overlap, the numbers will not collectively add up to 100%. Each race category was presented as a “yes/no” option: “yes” if the consumer marked the bubble on the Older Adult Survey and “no” if the consumer did not mark the bubble.

On the Older Adult Survey, 84.0% of the consumers identified themselves as being “White / Caucasian.”

**Is your race White / Caucasian?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	15	16.0	16.0	16.0
	Yes	79	84.0	84.0	100.0
Total		94	100.0	100.0	

On the Older Adult Survey, 0.0% of the consumers identified themselves as being “Black / African American.”

**Is your race Black / African American?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	94	100.0	100.0	100.0

On the Older Adult Survey, 0.0% of the consumers identified themselves as being “Asian.”

**Is your race Asian?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	94	100.0	100.0	100.0

On the Older Adult Survey, 9.6% of the consumers identified themselves as being “American Indian / Alaskan Native.”

**Is your race American Indian / Alaskan Native?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	85	90.4	90.4	90.4
	Yes	9	9.6	9.6	100.0
	Total	94	100.0	100.0	

On the Older Adult Survey, 0.0% of the consumers identified themselves as being “Hawaiian / Other Pacific Islander.”

**Is your race Native Hawaiian / Other Pacific Islander?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	94	100.0	100.0	100.0

On the Older Adult Survey, 5.3% of the consumers identified themselves as being of another race.

**Other Race?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	89	94.7	94.7	94.7
	Yes	5	5.3	5.3	100.0
	Total	94	100.0	100.0	

On the Older Adult Survey, 2.1% of the consumers were not able to identify their race.

**Unknown Race?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	92	97.9	97.9	97.9
	Yes	2	2.1	2.1	100.0
	Total	94	100.0	100.0	

## LANGUAGE OF SURVEY

On the Older Adult Survey, 98.9% of the consumers responded using the English version of the Older Adult Survey; 0.0% used the Chinese version; 1.1% used the Korean version; 0.0% used the Spanish version and 0.0% used the Tagalog version of the Older Adult Survey.

**Language of instrument**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	English	93	98.9	98.9	98.9
	Korean	1	1.1	1.1	100.0
Total		94	100.0	100.0	

## PREFERRED LANGUAGE

On the Older Adult Survey, 100.0% of the consumers responded that the services they received were provided in the language they preferred and 98.8% responded that written information was available in their preferred language. Additionally, 9.6% and 9.6% of the consumers did not respond to these items, respectively.

**Were the services you received provided in the language you prefer?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	85	90.4	100.0	100.0
	No Response	9	9.6		
Total		94	100.0		

**Was written information (e.g., brochures describing available services, your rights as a consumer, and mental health education materials) available to you in the language you prefer?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	1	1.1	1.2	1.2
	Yes	84	89.4	98.8	100.0
	Total	85	90.4	100.0	
No Response		9	9.6		
Total		94	100.0		

**PRIMARY REASON INVOLVED WITH PROGRAM**

For those who responded to the question – “What was the primary reason you became involved with this program?” – 51.2% reported that they decided to come in on their own, 42.9% reported that someone else recommended that they come in and 6.0% reported that they came in against their will. Additionally, 10.6% of the consumers did not respond to this item.

**What was the primary reason you became involved with this program?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	I decided to come in on my own	43	45.7	51.2	51.2
	Someone else recommended that I come in.	36	38.3	42.9	94.0
	I came in against my will.	5	5.3	6.0	100.0
	Total	84	89.4	100.0	
No Response		10	10.6		
Total		94	100.0		

**ASSISTANCE COMPLETING SURVEY**

Consumers were permitted to identify all of the individuals who assisted them in completing the Older Adult Survey; therefore, more than one person may have provided assistance and, due to potential overlap, the numbers will not collectively add up to 100%. Each category was presented as a “yes/no” option: “yes” if the consumer marked the bubble on the Older Adult Survey and “no” if the consumer did not mark the bubble.

For the May 2005 survey period, 67.0% of the consumers responded that they did not need any help in completing the Older Adult Survey.

**I did not need any help.**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	31	33.0	33.0	33.0
	Yes	63	67.0	67.0	100.0
	Total	94	100.0	100.0	

For the May 2005 survey period, 7.4% of the consumers responded that a mental health advocate / volunteer helped them complete the Older Adult Survey.

**A mental health advocate / volunteer helped me.**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	87	92.6	92.6	92.6
	Yes	7	7.4	7.4	100.0
	Total	94	100.0	100.0	

For the May 2005 survey period, 3.2% of the consumers responded that another mental health consumer helped them complete the Older Adult Survey.

**Another mental health consumer helped me.**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	91	96.8	96.8	96.8
	Yes	3	3.2	3.2	100.0
	Total	94	100.0	100.0	

For the May 2005 survey period, 14.9% of the consumers responded that a member of their family helped them complete the Older Adult Survey.

**A member of my family helped me.**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	80	85.1	85.1	85.1
	Yes	14	14.9	14.9	100.0
	Total	94	100.0	100.0	

For the May 2005 survey period, 1.1% of the consumers responded that a professional interviewer helped them complete the Older Adult Survey.

**A professional interviewer helped me.**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	93	98.9	98.9	98.9
	Yes	1	1.1	1.1	100.0
	Total	94	100.0	100.0	

For the May 2005 survey period, 3.2% of the consumers responded that a clinician / case manager helped them complete the Older Adult Survey.

**My clinician / case manager helped me.**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	91	96.8	96.8	96.8
	Yes	3	3.2	3.2	100.0
	Total	94	100.0	100.0	

For the May 2005 survey period, 5.3% of the consumers responded that a staff member other than their clinician or case manager helped them complete the Older Adult Survey.

**A staff member other than my clinician or case manager helped me.**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	89	94.7	94.7	94.7
	Yes	5	5.3	5.3	100.0
	Total	94	100.0	100.0	

For the May 2005 survey period, 4.3% of the consumers responded that someone else helped them complete the Older Adult Survey.

**Someone else helped me.**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	90	95.7	95.7	95.7
	Yes	4	4.3	4.3	100.0
	Total	94	100.0	100.0	

**REASON WHY SURVEY NOT COMPLETED** (*if applicable*)

County staff were expected to complete a “Reason” item if a consumer who met the criteria for the target population did not complete an Older Adult Survey. Of the 132 consumers who were expected to complete an Older Adult Survey, 38 (28.8%) did not. Of these, 44.7% were reported to have Refused the survey, 44.7% were reported to have had an Impairment, 5.3% did not have a survey available in their Language and 5.3% were marked as having an “Other” reason for non-completion. Additionally, 0.0% of the Older Adult Surveys that were not completed did not have a “Reason” response.

**If the instrument is not completed, the PRIMARY reason must be indicated.**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Refused	17	44.7	44.7	44.7
	Impairment	17	44.7	44.7	89.5
	Language	2	5.3	5.3	94.7
	Other	2	5.3	5.3	100.0
	Total	38	100.0	100.0	

## **MHSIP Consumer Survey**

### *Summary Report*

#### **About the MHSIP Consumer Survey**

The MHSIP is a 28-item consumer-completed survey designed to obtain participant perceptions of 1) access to services, 2) quality and appropriateness of services received, 3) consumer participation in treatment planning, 4) service outcomes and 5) general satisfaction. The MHSIP survey was developed through the collaborative efforts of the federally funded Mental Health Statistics Improvement Program ([www.mhsip.org](http://www.mhsip.org)), that included the direct assistance and feedback of consumers, their families and mental health advocates. The MHSIP Consumer Survey is currently used in most states across the United States.

It is important to remember that the ratings on the MHSIP survey represent a participant's perceptions. Some data exist to suggest that satisfaction with services, in and of itself, does not necessarily correlate with outcomes. However, the MHSIP provides a good source of information to ensure that consumers have the opportunity to shape and improve their services.

The following tables present REGIONAL data that were collected and aggregated from the MHSIP portion of the May 2005 Older Adult Survey. The MHSIP items are rated on a five-point scale, with "5" indicating the greatest satisfaction.

The items that comprise each of the MHSIP subscales (i.e., access to services, quality and appropriateness of services received, consumer participation in treatment planning, service outcomes and general satisfaction) were averaged and then grouped into the following categories: 1.0 - 1.5 = 'Dissatisfied', 1.5001 - 2.5 = 'Somewhat Dissatisfied', 2.5001 - 3.5 = 'Neutral', 3.5001 - 4.5 = 'Satisfied' and 4.5001 - 5 = 'Very Satisfied'. As a general guideline for interpretation, the national benchmark for satisfaction is an overall scale score above 3.5.

For the tables reflecting categorical groupings of MHSIP survey averages and MHSIP subscale averages, total frequencies may differ depending on how many items on each scale consumers completed. Averages were only calculated for those Older Adult Surveys where at least 2/3 of the items in the particular domain were completed (i.e., only 1/3 of the items could have no response). The results are shaded.

The average scores for each of the MHSIP subscales are reported below.

**PERCEPTION OF ACCESS TO SERVICES**

For the consumers who completed at least 2/3 of the items that comprise the “Perception of Access to Services” subscale, 47.3% reported that they were Very Satisfied, 37.4% reported they were Satisfied, 12.1% were Neutral, 2.2% were Somewhat Dissatisfied and 1.1% were Dissatisfied. Additionally, for 3.2% of the surveys, less than 2/3 of the necessary items were completed, thus no subscale score could be calculated.

**Perception of Access to Services**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Dissatisfied	1	1.1	1.1	1.1
	Somewhat Dissatisfied	2	2.1	2.2	3.3
	Neutral	11	11.7	12.1	15.4
	Satisfied	34	36.2	37.4	52.7
	Very Satisfied	43	45.7	47.3	100.0
	Total	91	96.8	100.0	
No Response		3	3.2		
Total		94	100.0		

**PERCEPTION OF QUALITY & APPROPRIATENESS**

For the consumers who completed at least 2/3 of the items that comprise the “Perception of Quality and Appropriateness” subscale, 43.3% reported that they were Very Satisfied, 46.7% reported they were Satisfied, 8.9% were Neutral, 0.0% were Somewhat Dissatisfied and 1.1% were Dissatisfied. Additionally, for 4.3% of the surveys, less than 2/3 of the necessary items were completed, thus no subscale score could be calculated.

**Perception of Quality & Appropriateness**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Dissatisfied	1	1.1	1.1	1.1
	Neutral	8	8.5	8.9	10.0
	Satisfied	42	44.7	46.7	56.7
	Very Satisfied	39	41.5	43.3	100.0
	Total	90	95.7	100.0	
No Response		4	4.3		
Total		94	100.0		

### PERCEPTION OF PARTICIPATION IN TREATMENT PLANNING

For the consumers who completed at least 2/3 of the items that comprise the “Perception of Participation in Treatment Planning” subscale, 38.4% reported that they were Very Satisfied, 41.9% reported they were Satisfied, 17.4% were Neutral, 1.2% were Somewhat Dissatisfied and 1.2% were Dissatisfied. Additionally, for 8.5% of the surveys, less than 2/3 of the necessary items were completed, thus no subscale score could be calculated.

**Perception of Participation in Treatment Planning**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Dissatisfied	1	1.1	1.2	1.2
	Somewhat Dissatisfied	1	1.1	1.2	2.3
	Neutral	15	16.0	17.4	19.8
	Satisfied	36	38.3	41.9	61.6
	Very Satisfied	33	35.1	38.4	100.0
	Total	86	91.5	100.0	
No Response		8	8.5		
Total		94	100.0		

### OUTCOMES

For the consumers who completed at least 2/3 of the items that comprise the “Outcomes” subscale, 35.3% reported that they were Very Satisfied, 42.4% reported they were Satisfied, 17.6% were Neutral, 3.5% were Somewhat Dissatisfied and 1.2% were Dissatisfied. Additionally, for 9.6% of the surveys, less than 2/3 of the necessary items were completed, thus no subscale score could be calculated.

**Outcomes**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Dissatisfied	1	1.1	1.2	1.2
	Somewhat Dissatisfied	3	3.2	3.5	4.7
	Neutral	15	16.0	17.6	22.4
	Satisfied	36	38.3	42.4	64.7
	Very Satisfied	30	31.9	35.3	100.0
	Total	85	90.4	100.0	
No Response		9	9.6		
Total		94	100.0		

## GENERAL SATISFACTION

For the consumers who completed at least 2/3 of the items that comprise the “General Satisfaction” subscale, 63.0% reported that they were Very Satisfied, 29.3% reported they were Satisfied, 4.3% were Neutral, 2.2% were Somewhat Dissatisfied and 1.1% were Dissatisfied. Additionally, for 2.1% of the surveys, less than 2/3 of the necessary items were completed, thus no subscale score could be calculated.

**General Satisfaction**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Dissatisfied	1	1.1	1.1	1.1
	Somewhat Dissatisfied	2	2.1	2.2	3.3
	Neutral	4	4.3	4.3	7.6
	Satisfied	27	28.7	29.3	37.0
	Very Satisfied	58	61.7	63.0	100.0
	Total	92	97.9	100.0	
No Response		2	2.1		
Total		94	100.0		

## AVERAGE MHSIP SUBSCALE SCORES

Average scores were calculated for the surveys within which at least 2/3 of the items that comprise each of the subscales were completed. Overall, respondents indicated that they were “Satisfied” with Access to Services (indicated by a subscale score of 4.28; 91 responses), the Quality & Appropriateness of treatment (indicated by a subscale score of 4.28; 90 responses), Participation in Treatment Planning (indicated by a subscale score of 4.28; 86 responses), Outcomes (indicated by a subscale score of 4.05; 85 responses) and services generally (General Satisfaction; indicated by a subscale score of 4.50; 92 responses).

**Descriptive Statistics**

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Average: Perception of Access to Services	91	1.00	5.00	4.28	.81
Average: Perception of Quality & Appropriateness	90	1.00	5.00	4.28	.67
Average: Perception of Treatment Planning	86	1.00	5.00	4.28	.78
Average: Outcomes	85	1.00	5.00	4.05	.82
General Satisfaction	92	1.00	5.00	4.50	.75
Valid N (listwise)	80				

## Quality of Life (QOL) Summary Report

### About the QOL

The QOL is designed to measure quality of life from a consumer's self-reported perspective. The subscales measured include: general life satisfaction, living situation, daily activities and functioning, family and social relationships, legal and safety, and health.

It is important to remember that the ratings on the QOL represent a consumer's perceptions. A variety of factors can affect a consumer's quality of life and many of these are out of the control of service providers. However, in our efforts to continually improve services, the QOL can be used as a source of information on issues that are important to consumers.

The following tables present REGIONAL data that were collected and aggregated from the QOL portion of the May 2005 Older Adult Survey. Most of the QOL items are rated on a seven-point scale, with "7" indicating the greatest satisfaction regarding their quality of life. Some of the results reflect frequencies of ratings for particular QOL items while others reflect averages of the items that comprise each of the QOL subscales (i.e., general life satisfaction, living situation, daily activities and functioning, family and social relationships, legal and safety, and health). Using the seven-point response options as a guide, the QOL subscale results can be interpreted using the following average score ranges: 1 – 2.5 = 'Very Dissatisfied', 2.5001 – 3.5 = 'Dissatisfied', 3.5001 – 4.5 = 'Mixed', 4.5001 – 5.5 = 'Satisfied' and 5.5001 – 7.0 = 'Very Satisfied'. As a general guideline, an overall scale score over 4.5 indicates that consumers were satisfied. For the tables reflecting the QOL subscale averages, total frequencies may differ depending on how many items on each scale consumers completed. Averages were only calculated for those Older Adult Surveys where at least 2/3 of the items in the particular domain were completed (i.e., only 1/3 of the items could have no response).

All QOL results are shaded.

## GENERAL LIFE SATISFACTION

For those who responded to the question – “How do you feel about your life in general?” – 8.9% were Delighted, 14.4% were Pleased, 31.1% were Mostly Satisfied, 28.9% were Mixed, 4.4% were Mostly Dissatisfied, 12.2% were Unhappy and 0.0% were Terrible. Additionally, 4.3% of the consumers did not respond to this item.

**QOL\_1. How do you feel about your life in general?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Unhappy	11	11.7	12.2	12.2
	Mostly Dissatisfied	4	4.3	4.4	16.7
	Mixed	26	27.7	28.9	45.6
	Mostly Satisfied	28	29.8	31.1	76.7
	Pleased	13	13.8	14.4	91.1
	Delighted	8	8.5	8.9	100.0
	Total	90	95.7	100.0	
No Response		4	4.3		
Total		94	100.0		

## LIVING SITUATION

For the consumers who completed at least 2/3 of the items that comprise the “Living Situation” subscale, an average score of 5.18 (89 responses) was calculated, indicating “Satisfied” feelings regarding living situation.

**Descriptive Statistics**

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Average: Living Situation	89	1.33	7.00	5.18	1.41
Valid N (listwise)	89				

## DAILY ACTIVITIES & FUNCTIONING

For the consumers who completed at least 2/3 of the items that comprise the “Daily Activities & Functioning” subscale, an average score of 4.75 (88 responses) was calculated, indicating “Satisfied” feelings regarding daily activities & functioning.

**Descriptive Statistics**

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Average: Daily Activities & Functioning	88	1.25	7.00	4.75	1.22
Valid N (listwise)	88				

## FAMILY RELATIONS

For the consumers who completed at least 2/3 of the items that comprise the “Family Relations” subscale, an average score of 4.95 (86 responses) was calculated, indicating “Satisfied” feelings regarding family relations.

### Descriptive Statistics

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Average: Family Relations	81	1.00	7.00	4.95	1.50
Valid N (listwise)	81				

## SOCIAL RELATIONS

For the consumers who completed at least 2/3 of the items that comprise the “Social Relations” subscale, an average score of 4.87 (86 responses) was calculated, indicating “Satisfied” feelings regarding social relations.

### Descriptive Statistics

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Average: Social Relations	86	1.00	7.00	4.87	1.27
Valid N (listwise)	86				

## LEGAL & SAFETY

For the May 2005 survey period, 95.5% of the consumers reported that they were NOT a victim of any violent crimes in the month prior to completing the Older Adult Survey. Additionally, 6.4% of the consumers did not respond to this item.

### QOL\_9A. In the past month, were you the victim of any violent crimes such as assault, rape, mugging or robbery?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	84	89.4	95.5	95.5
	Yes	4	4.3	4.5	100.0
	Total	88	93.6	100.0	
No Response		6	6.4		
Total		94	100.0		

For the May 2005 survey period, 90.7% of the consumers reported that they were NOT a victim of any non-violent crimes in the month prior to completing the Older Adult Survey. Additionally, 8.5% of the consumers did not respond to this item.

**QOL\_9B. In the past month, were you the victim of any non-violent crimes such as burglary, theft of your property or money, or being cheated?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	78	83.0	90.7	90.7
	Yes	8	8.5	9.3	100.0
	Total	86	91.5	100.0	
No Response		8	8.5		
Total		94	100.0		

For the May 2005 survey period, 97.6% of the consumers reported that they had NOT been arrested for any crimes in the month prior to completing the Older Adult Survey. Additionally, 10.6% of the consumers did not respond to this item.

**QOL\_10. In the past month, how many times have you been arrested for any crimes?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No arrests	82	87.2	97.6	97.6
	1 arrest	1	1.1	1.2	98.8
	2 arrests	1	1.1	1.2	100.0
	Total	84	89.4	100.0	
No Response		10	10.6		
Total		94	100.0		

**Average Quality of Life Indicator: Legal & Safety**

For the consumers who completed at least 2/3 of the items that comprise the “Legal & Safety” subscale, an average score of 5.29 (88 responses) was calculated, indicating “Satisfied” feelings regarding legal & safety issues.

**Descriptive Statistics**

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Average: Legal & Safety	88	2.00	7.00	5.29	1.21
Valid N (listwise)	88				

**HEALTH**

For those who responded to the question – “In general, would you say your health is” –10.7% were Excellent, 9.5% were Very Good, 29.8% were Good, 34.5% were Fair and 15.5% were Poor. Additionally, 10.6% of the consumers did not respond to this item.

**QOL\_9. In general, would you say your health is \_\_\_\_?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Excellent	9	9.6	10.7	10.7
	Very Good	8	8.5	9.5	20.2
	Good	25	26.6	29.8	50.0
	Fair	29	30.9	34.5	84.5
	Poor	13	13.8	15.5	100.0
	Total	84	89.4	100.0	
No Response		10	10.6		
Total		94	100.0		

For the consumers who completed at least 2/3 of the items that comprise the “Health” subscale, an average score of 4.30 (87 responses) was calculated, indicating “Mixed” feelings regarding health status.

**Descriptive Statistics**

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Average: Health	87	2.00	7.00	4.30	1.27
Valid N (listwise)	87				