

## *Capital Facilities/Technological Needs Component*

<i>County</i>	<i>Date Received</i>	<i>Date Approved</i>	<i>Total Planning Estimate</i>	<i>Capital Facilities Funds</i>	<i>% of Planning Estimate</i>	<i>Technological Needs Funds</i>	<i>% of Planning Estimate</i>
Alameda	4/6/2009	4/24/2009	<b>\$16,200,300</b>	\$8,100,150	50%	\$8,100,150	50%
Butte	2/27/2009	4/7/2009	<b>\$2,430,900</b>	\$804,794	33%	\$1,626,106	67%
Calaveras	4/22/2009	6/1/2009	<b>\$788,500</b>	\$408,500	52%	\$380,000	48%
Colusa	2/28/2009	3/9/2009	<b>\$788,500</b>	\$325,559	41%	\$462,941	59%
Contra Costa	1/30/2009	2/5/2009	<b>\$10,222,200</b>	\$8,200,000	80%	\$2,000,000	20%
Fresno	8/4/2008	8/29/2008	<b>\$11,047,300</b>	\$5,043,660	46%	\$3,362,440	30%
Glenn	6/1/2009	6/19/2009	<b>\$788,500</b>	\$360,500	46%	\$428,000	54%
Humboldt	4/29/2009	5/13/2009	<b>\$1,403,700</b>	\$0	0%	\$1,403,700	100%
Kern	5/22/2009	6/11/2009	<b>\$9,417,000</b>	\$6,000,000	64%	\$3,417,000	36%
Lake	7/20/2009	8/18/2009	<b>\$788,500</b>	\$513,500	65%	\$275,000	35%
Los Angeles	2/4/2009	2/23/2009	<b>\$131,007,000</b>	\$29,905,440	23%	\$69,779,360	53%
Madera	2/23/2009	3/12/2009	<b>\$1,796,800</b>	\$1,796,800	100%	\$0	0%
Merced	10/7/2009		<b>\$3,135,200</b>	\$887,738	28%	\$2,247,462	72%
Mono	3/27/2009	5/14/2009	<b>\$788,500</b>	\$670,225	85%	\$118,225	15%

<i>County</i>	<i>Date Received</i>	<i>Date Approved</i>	<i>Total Planning Estimate</i>	<i>Capital Facilities Funds</i>	<i>% of Planning Estimate</i>	<i>Technological Needs Funds</i>	<i>% of Planning Estimate</i>
Monterey	7/1/2008	7/21/2008	<b>\$5,102,000</b>	\$1,809,628	35%	\$2,072,572	41%
Napa	9/8/2009	9/22/2009	<b>\$1,354,900</b>	\$1,016,175	75%	\$338,725	25%
Nevada	11/18/2008	12/5/2008	<b>\$979,200</b>	\$639,200	65%	\$340,000	35%
Orange	7/18/2008	8/15/2008	<b>\$37,202,800</b>	\$22,646,640	61%	\$5,661,660	15%
Placer	10/1/2009	10/13/2009	<b>\$2,991,800</b>	\$1,944,670	65%	\$1,047,130	35%
Riverside	9/16/2009	10/15/2009	<b>\$24,126,200</b>	\$11,548,648	48%	\$6,777,552	28%
San Bernardino	12/22/2008	1/12/2009	<b>\$23,869,200</b>	\$10,741,140	45%	\$13,128,060	55%
San Diego	3/9/2009	4/2/2009	<b>\$37,346,700</b>	\$13,071,345	35%	\$24,275,355	65%
San Francisco	4/16/2009	5/1/2009	<b>\$8,296,700</b>	\$4,148,350	50%	\$4,148,350	50%
San Luis Obispo	3/30/2009	4/15/2009	<b>\$2,849,200</b>	\$0	0%	\$2,849,200	100%
San Mateo	5/27/2008	6/17/2008	<b>\$7,279,700</b>	\$2,215,720	30%	\$3,323,580	46%
Santa Clara	2/13/2009	3/2/2009	<b>\$21,297,000</b>	\$7,454,000	35%	\$13,843,000	65%
Santa Cruz	10/20/2009		<b>\$3,146,200</b>	\$786,550	25%	\$2,359,650	75%
Sierra	7/22/2009	8/3/2009	<b>\$788,500</b>	\$638,500	81%	\$150,000	19%
Solano	1/12/2009	1/14/2009	<b>\$4,491,300</b>	\$1,541,300	34%	\$2,950,000	66%
Sonoma	12/29/2008	1/12/2009	<b>\$4,917,600</b>	\$2,917,600	59%	\$2,000,000	41%

<i>County</i>	<i>Date Received</i>	<i>Date Approved</i>	<i>Total Planning Estimate</i>	<i>Capital Facilities Funds</i>	<i>% of Planning Estimate</i>	<i>Technological Needs Funds</i>	<i>% of Planning Estimate</i>
Stanislaus	5/29/2009	7/13/2009	<b>\$5,686,800</b>	\$0	0%	\$5,686,800	100%
Trinity	3/23/2009	4/8/2009	<b>\$788,500</b>	\$373,000	47%	\$415,500	53%
Tuolumne	12/22/2008	1/12/2009	<b>\$788,500</b>	\$550,000	70%	\$235,500	30%
Ventura	6/30/2009	7/9/2009	<b>\$9,319,400</b>	\$4,213,527	45%	\$5,105,873	55%
<b>Totals</b>			<b>\$393,225,100</b>	<b>\$151,272,859</b>		<b>\$190,308,891</b>	